

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,242	09/778,242 02/06/2001		Matt Beaumont	07319/096001	4078	
20985	7590	09/25/2003				
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC				EXAMINER		
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122				LAVARIAS	, ARNEL C	
SAN DIEGO), CA 92	122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2872		
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/778,242		BEAUMONT, MATT		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	10	
:		Arnel C. Lavaria	s	2872		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	r sheet with the co	rrespondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory mi will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from the o become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely. le mailing date of this communic (35 U.S.C. § 133).	≎ation.	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	<u>August 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) Ti	nis action is non-f	nal.			
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				rits is	
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 6-33 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,6 and 9-33</u> is/are v	withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-2, 7-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.			
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) object	ed to by the Exam	iner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be he	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗌 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approv	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office ac	tion.			
12) 🗌 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been rece	ived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been rece	ived in Application	n No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	l7.2(a)).	· ·		
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional applie	cation).	
	☐ The translation of the foreign language proceeds.cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) [5) [6) [PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u> .	
S. Patent and Tr		ction Summary		Part of Paper N	No. 13	

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendments to the specification of the disclosure in Paper No. 12, dated 8/5/03, are acknowledged and accepted.

Response to Arguments

- 2. The Applicant argues that both Katagiri '724 and Mactaggart fail to teach or reasonably suggest an apparatus having an optical device including an optical filter and a memory unit, storing calibration data for the specific optical filter, which calibration data relates to optical characteristics which are individual to the specific optical filter in the optical device. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Katagiri '724 specifically teaches that calibration data specific to filter 90 is stored in ROM (See for example col. 22, lines 5-63). Similarly, Mactaggart teaches the use of a calibration table specific to filter 24 being stored in memory (See for example col. 6, line 55-col. 7, line 19). The Examiner notes that the recited limitations in the claims fail to disclose the optical device of the apparatus having more than one optical filter, or the one optical filter being replaced by another, different optical filter. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 3. The Applicant also argues that Katagiri '724 and Mactaggart fail to teach or reasonably suggest the memory table including a list of specified colors, and positions for

Application/Control Number: 09/778,242

Art Unit: 2872

the specified colors. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Both Katagiri '724 and Mactaggart disclose calibration data for the optical filter being stored in memory. The calibration data includes wavelength data (See col. 22, lines 5-63 of Katagiri '724; col. 6, line 55-col. 7, line 19). The Examiner notes that wavelength is inherently correlated to color, as is known from electromagnetics and the electromagnetic spectrum. Thus, calibration data that includes wavelength information would necessarily also include color information.

4. Claims 1-2, 7-8 are rejected as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katagiri et al.

 (U.S. Patent No. 6359724 or '724), of record.

See Section 8 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.

7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mactaggart (U.S. Patent No. 4602160), of record.

See Section 9 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,242

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katagiri '724 in view of So (U.S. Patent No. 5729347), of record.

See Section 11 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.

10. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mactaggart in view of So.

See Section 12 of Paper No. 11, dated 4/7/03.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Art Unit: 2872

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 703-305-4007. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 703-305-0024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Arnel C. Lavarias

9/22/03